

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/817,981	03/27/2001	Walid S. Ibrahim Ali	US 010013	9871	
24737	7590 11/16/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			EDWARDS, PATRICK L		
P.O. BOX 300 BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2621		

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			8			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/817,981	ALI ET AL.`				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patrick L Edwards	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addre	ss			
THE REPLY FILED 15 September 2004 FAILS Therefore, further action by the applicant is requiral rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR	uired to avoid abandonment of this either: (1) a timely filed amendment of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	s application. A proper reply ent which places the applica	y to a Ition in			
PERIOD	FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the billion The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST RE 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the periods.)	e of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for pire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing PLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS (a). The date on which the petition under 37	g date of the final rejection. OF THE FINAL REJECTION. Set CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate 6	e MPEP extension fee			
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereo						
2. \boxtimes The proposed amendment(s) will not be e	entered because:					
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would requ	uire further consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see	ee Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the apprissues for appeal; and/or	olication in better form for appeal l	by materially reducing or sir	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without	ut canceling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claim	S.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the follow	ving rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	would be allowable if submitted	d in a separate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ reapplication in condition for allowance bed		en considered but does NOT	Γ place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be consideraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		DLELY to issues which were	e newly			
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as	s follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disapprov	red by the Examiner.				
9 Note the attached Information Disclosure	Statement(s)(PTO-1440) Paper	vio(s)				

10. Other: ____

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

This after final amendment will not be entered because it adds an additional limitation, ('an algorithm capable of optimizing a control parameter setting of a video processing algorithm, without regard to prior information of the video processing algorithm'), which would require further search and consideration.

LEO BOUDREAU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600